



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,851	07/24/2003	Toshiyuki Hosokawa	107348-00358	8943

4372 7590 12/11/2006

ARENT FOX PLLC  
1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.  
SUITE 400  
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, DONGHAID

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3729

DATE MAILED: 12/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4/17

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/625,851	HOSOKAWA, TOSHIYUKI	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Donghai D. Nguyen	3729	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Donghai D. Nguyen.

(3) Minh Trinh.

(2) Rhonda L. Barton.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 04 December 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-5.

Identification of prior art discussed: Applied references (Yazawa and Inoue et al).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the proposed amendment (see attached). It appears that the proposed amendment overcome the 102 rejections under Japaneses Ref. to Yazawa or US Ref. to Inoue et al. It's suggested Applicant to further define the "mounting attitudes" as described in the specification in order to positive define the method step. Applicant will file a formal amendment for consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
MINH TRINH  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

**PROPOSED CLAIM AMENDMENTS FOR INTERVIEW**

1. (Currently Amended) A process for mounting a plurality of parts to a cable comprising:

mounting the plurality of parts to the cable in mounted positions ~~which are intermediate between opposite ends of the cable and in mounted attitudes, the mounted positions being intermediate between opposite ends of the cable in a state where the cable is used,~~ the mounted positions and the mounted attitudes being determined respectively for the parts, and

marking the cable with information for the mounting of each of the parts before the mounting of the parts wherein the cable comprises a single unbroken member.

2. (Previously Presented) A process for mounting parts to a cable according to claim 1, wherein the step of marking the cable with information for mounting each of the parts comprises marking the cable with a name, the mounted position and the mounted attitude of each of the parts.

3. (Previously Presented) A process for mounting parts to a cable according to claim 1 or 2, wherein the step of marking is carried out at a step of cutting the cable into a predetermined length.

4. (New) A process for mounting a plurality of parts to a cable according to claim 1, wherein the step of mounting comprises sliding at least one of the plurality of parts onto the cable.

5. (New) A process for mounting a plurality of parts to a cable according to claim 1, wherein the plurality of parts are fixed to the cable at mounted positions by an adhesive.